

Evidencia en la actualidad tras progresión a platino Ignacio Romero



¿Cómo disminuir la incidencia? OBESIDAD



Enfermedad avanzada: histología, edad, estadio



Clinical

G1, G2EC – Grade 1 and 2 endometrioid carcinoma G3EC – Grade 3 endometrioid carcinoma

Figure 1 Proportion of corpus cancers compared to proportion of corpus cancer deaths by histologic cell type. Supervivencia global en estadio IV según tipo histológico



CC células claras; UPSC seroso; EG3 endometrioide G3

Factores que aumentan el riesgo de recidiva

Table 2 Multivariate analysis

Factors	Hazard ratio	95% confidence interval	P-value
Stage of disease Histology ^a Age at diagnosis ^b	2.05 1.22 1.03	1.93-2.17 1.11-1.35 1.03-1.04 0.92 1.11	Po 0.001 Po 0.001 Po 0.001 Po 0.001
Adjuvant radiotherapy	0.99	0.93-1.03	P ¹ / ₄ 0.450

^aUterine papillary serous carcinoma vs dear cell carcinoma vs grade 3 endometrioid carcinoma. ^bAs a continuous variable. ^oWhites vs Blacks vs Asians.

Hamilton et al. Uterine papillary serous and clear cell carcinomas predict for poorer survival compared to grade 3 endometrioid corpus cancers British Journal of Cancer (2006) 94, 642 – 646

Molecular classification





Soumerai et al. Clin Cancer Res; 24(23) December 1, 2

Morice et al Lancet 2016

IMS EN cáncer de endometrio





Cancer Immunol Res; 7(10) October 2019

Poblaciones y criterios

• MMRd (MSI-H) vs MMRPro (MSS)

• Tiempo desde adyuvancia platino (<6 o <12 meses)

• Líneas previas en enfermedad avanzada no resecable

• Mantenimiento

Mantenimiento tras platino

TP53 WT: X-PORT

p53 wild-type

"Not exactly TP53 mutant": PARPi

100. Militation of Militation	Median PFS Selinexor (n= Placebo (n=36 HR = 0.375	67): 13.7 m): 3.7 m (9 5% CI 0.2	• • • (95% CI 9 95% CI 1.87 10-0.670);P		+ Censored - Selinexor - Placebo	
No. at Birk	о́ з	6	9 12	15	18	-
Selinexor	67 48	33	24 15	10	7	
Placebo	36 18	11	9 6	5	5	
Key Elgbilliss Krown 63 któl Primar visgel Vo recorrect EC Received actes 12 weeks of pathatmhaued chemotherary (Planned N=220)	PR/CR per RECIST v 1.1	R 1:1	Arm Seine Göng until (n=1) Piace until (n=1)	A xor QW PD L0) B bo PD →	TF	Primary Endpoint: PFS assessed by Investigator Key Secondary Endpoint: Obset Secondary Endpoint: PFS assessed by BUCR, SFFS and Secondary Endpoint: PFS per other molecular features, high secondary Endpoint. PFS per other molecular features, may as a more molecular features, may as a more molecular features, and secondary Control of Control and eff core Crit me, durate of Control and eff core

	experimental	SOC	Stratification	BK	Adjuvant
Ruby P2	IO+PARP	Observation	MMR	none	
DUO-E	IO+PARP	3 arms: Obs or IO		none	>12 mo

- Unselected
 Potential BK?
 - Serous histology
 - P53 (IHC vs Mutation)
 - GIS
 - HRD mutations
 - BRCA1/2

- DUO-E: all comers
 IO vs Obs
 - IO vs
 - IO+PARPi

Makker ASCO 2022

Pembrolizumab monoterapia en CE:

+

ESTUDIO Cohorte MSI-H todos los tumores

9 pacientes MSI-high recurrent or progressive endometrioid endometrial
Mediana tratamientos previos – 2
Tasa respuesta 56% (95% CI: 21-86%, N=5/9) – CR1,PR4
3 pacientes con estabilización prolongada
Tasa control enfermedad (RC + RP +

EE) es 88.9% (8/9 pacientes) • SG a

los 12 meses: 89%

ESTUDIO KEYNOTE 028 sólo CE PDL-1 +

Table 3. Best Objective Response Assessed per RECIST (version 1.1) by Investigator Review (n = 23)						
Best Objective Response	No.	%	95% CI			
Objective response rate*	3	13.0	2.8 to 33.6			
PR†	3	13.0	2.8 to 33.6			
Stable disease	3	13.0	2.8 to 33.6			
Progressive disease‡	13	56.5	34.5 to 76.8			
No assessment§	3	13.0	2.8 to 33.6			
Nonevaluable	1	4.3	0.1 to 21.9			





Progresión durante/tras platino 1ª línea

• MSI-H Pembrolizumab /Dostarlimab

• All comers/ MSS: Lenvatinib Pembrolizumab



GARNET:

Dostarlimab in Patients with Recurrent or Advanced MMRd Disease

- Phase 1, single-arm study across multiple tumor types
- In part 2B, dostarlimab was dosed at the RTD determined from Part 1 and 2A
 - 500 mg IV every 3 wk for 4 cycles, then 1000 mg IV every 6 wk until disease progression
- MMR status determined by local IHC
- Primary endpoints: ORR and DoR



Key inclusion/exclusion criteria for cohorts A1 and A2:

- Patients must have progressed on or after platinum doublet therapy
- Patients must have received ≤2 prior lines of treatment for recurrent or advanced disease
- Patients must have measurable disease at baseline
- Patients must be anti-PD-(L)1 naïve
- Patients could be screened based on local MMR/MSI testing results using IHC, PCR, or NGS performed in a certified local laboratory, but patient eligibility needs to be confirmed by MMR IHC results

Oaknin A, et al. Ann Oncol. 2020;31(Suppl_4): Abstract LBA36; Oaknin A, et al. JAMA Oncol. 2020:e204515.

GARNET: Enrollment and Outcomes

Enrolled and dosed (safety population)	dMMR EC N	=126 (100%)	MMRp EC N	=145 (100%)
No measurable disease at baseline or insufficient follow-up		n=23		→ n=3
Measurable disease at baseline and ≥6 months follow-up (efficacy population)	n=1	103	n=1	42
Discontinued treatment		70 of 126 (56%) Progression, n=49 Adverse event, n=14 Patient request, n=1 Clinical criteria, n=5 Other, n=1		127 of 145 (88%) Progression, n=89 Adverse event, n=14 Clinical criteria, n=16 Patient request, n=5 Other, n=3
Remain on treatment	n=56 of 1	26 (44%)	n=18 of 1	45 (12%)

Data cut-off date March 1, 2020. dMMR, mismatch mutation repair deficient; EC, endometrial cancer; MMRp, mismatch mutation repair proficient.

•

GARNETT: tasa respuestas según inestabilidad de microsatélites de anti PD-1 dostarlimab

Best Overall Response		MSI-H EC (n=41)	MSS EC (n=79)	MSI status unknownª (n=5)	Total (N=125)
Overall response rate	n (%) (95% CI)	20 (48.8%) (32.9, 64.9)	16 (20.3%) (12.0, 30.8)	1 (20.0%) (0.5, 71.6)	37 (29.6%) (21.8, 38.4)
Complete response	n (%)	2 (4.9%)	4 (5.1%)	0 (0%)	6 (4.8%)
Partial response	n (%)	18 ^b (43.9%)	12° (15.2%)	1 (20.0%)	31 (24.8%)
Disease control rate ^d	% (95% CI)	63.4% (46.9, 77.9)	46.8% (35.5, 58.4)	60.0% (14.7, 94.7)	52.8% (43.7, 61.8)
Response ongoing	%	85.0%	81.3%	100%	83.8%

^aBased on central testing, MSI status could not be determined; ^b17 confirmed and 1 still on treatment and yet to be confirmed; ^c11 confirmed and 1 still on treatment and yet to be confirmed; ^c1rCR+irPR+uirPR+irSD.

irCR: immune-related complete response; irPR: immune-related partial response; irSD: immune-related stable disease; uirPR: unconfirmed immune-related partial response. CI: confidence interval.

Oaknin et al. SGO 2019;



GARNET Primary Endpoint: DoR



Oaknin A, et al. Ann Oncol. 2020;31(Suppl_4): Abstract LBA36; Oaknin A, et al. JAMA Oncol. 2020:e204515.



Combinaciones IO: Lenvatinib + Pembrolizumab

Fase IB/II carcinoma endometrial Población nº líneas previas: 1 línea (48%)

Response Category	MSS/pMMR (n = 94)	MSI-H/dMMR (n = 11)	Total (n = 108)	All EC (N = 124)
Week 24				
Best overall response				
Complete response	2 (2.1)	1 (9.1)	3 (2.8)	3 (2.4)
Partial response	32 (34.0)	6 (54.5)	38 (35.2)	46 (37.1)
Stable disease	45 (47.9)	3 (27.3)	50 (46.3)	56 (45.2)
Progressive disease	10 (10.6)	1 (9.1)	12 (11.1)	13 (10.5)
Not evaluable	5 (5.3)	0	5 (4.6)	6 (4.8)
Objective response rate (complete response + partial response)	34 (36.2)	7 (63.6)	41 (38.0)	49 (39.5)
95% CI	26.5 to 46.7	30.8 to 89.1	28.8 to 47.8	30.9 to 48.7

Previously Treated EC^a

Keynote-775: Segunda línea lenvatinib+pembrolizumab vs QT

	LEN + pembro (n = 411)	TPC (n = 416)
Median age (range), years	64 (30-82)	65 (35-86)
MMR status: pMMR / dMMR, %	<mark>84</mark> .2 / 15.8	84.4 / 15.6
Prior history of pelvic radiation, %	40.9	41.6
ECOG 0 / 1, % ^a	59.9 / 39.9	57.9/42.1
Race: White / Black / Asian / other, % ^b	63.5 / 4.1 / 20.7 / 2.9	59.1 / 3.4 / 22.1 / 4.8
Histology at diagnosis, %º		
Endometrioid carcinoma High-grade / low-grade / not specified ^d	22.9 / 14.4 / 21.9	21.6 / 13.0 / 26.4
Serous carcinoma	25.1	27.6
Clear cell carcinoma	7.3	4.1
Mixed	5.4	3.8
Prior lines of systemic treatment 1 / \geq 2, %	72.3 / 27.7	66.6 / 33.4
Prior lines of platinum-based treatment 1 / 2, $\%^{\circ}$	79.3 / 20.2	75.7 / 24.3
Prior neo-adjuvant and/or adjuvant treatment, $\%$	54.5	60.3

Makker et al. Presented at the Society of Gynecologic Oncology, Virtual Annual Meeting on Women's Cancer; March 2021

Phase 3 data second line Pembrolizumab+ Lenvatinib

Efficacy according to histology (Colombo et al.)

ro

100-

90-80-70-60-50-40-30-20-

10-0-

416 214 95 42 18

LEN + pembro

Events

281

10 4

HR (95% CI)

0.56 (0.47, 0.66)





15 8

HR (95% CI)

0.60 (0.50, 0.72) < 0.0001

3 1 1 0

P-value

351 177 83 37

LEN + pembro

Events

247

SGO 2021

P-value

< 0.0001

IGCS 2021

Efficacy according to histology (Colombo et al.ESMO 2021)



Posthoc analysis



Progression-Free Survival^a by Histology: pMMR

Per RECIST v1.1 by BICR. Randomization was stratified by MMR status.

HRs for other histologic types: mixed cell (n = 31): HR (95% Cl), 0.90 (0.35-2.29); other (n = 23): HR (95% Cl), 0.38 (0.12-1.19) Data cutoff: Oct 26, 2020.

Phase 3 data second line Pembrolizumab+ Lenvatinib

Efficacy according to histology (Colombo et al.)



HRs for other histologic types: mixed cell (n = 38): HR (95% Cl), 0.37 (0.16-0.85); other (n = 27): HR (95% Cl), 0.39 (0.15-1.04). Data cutoff: Oct 26, 2020.

Second line EC

TOPIC: Adriamicin + Pembrolizumab Fariñas et al.



Abbreviations: EC, endometrial cancer; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology C Group; IV, intravenous; PD, progressive disease; Q3W, every 3 weeks

Primary endpoint

· Progression-free survival (PFS) rate at 6 months.

Characteristics	n (%)
Median age (range), years	65.7 (37-80)
Stage at diagnostic (FIGO) I-II	16 (33)
III-IV	41 (65)
Histology Carcinosarcoma Endometrioid Adenocarcinoma	4 (8) 31 (65)
Mixed Carcinoma Serous Carcinoma Other	2 (4) 10 (21) 1 (2)
ECOG performance status 0 1	33 (69) 15 (31)
Histological Grade Grade 1 Grade 2 Grade 3 Unknown	6 (13) 11 (23) 21 (44) 10 (21)
Prior radiotherapy	24 (50)
Prior chemotherapy Paclitaxel with carboplatin Cisplatin Carboplatin Paclitaxel Others	48 (100) 47 (98) 10 (21) 9 (19) 6 (13) 3 (6)
Best response with prior chemotherapy Complete response Partial response Stable disease Progressive disease Unknown	4 (8) 18 (38) 7 (15) 7 (15) 12 (25)
Prior surgery	34 (71)

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier estimate of progression-free survival by investigator assessment (N=48)



NOTE. Tick marks represent censored patients. Abbreviations: PFS, progression-free survival.

Table 2. Best response to study treatment

Objective response rate	15 (31)	PFS
Complete response	6 (12.5)	63%
Partial response	9 (19)	endo
Stable disease	22 (46)	vs 35
Progressive disease	10 (21)	non
Median duration (95% CI) of response, months	8.2 (6.2 – NR)	endo
Median time (range) to response, months	2.1 (1.6 – 22.9)	

PFS 6 mo 63% endometr vs 35% non endometr

PFS 6 mo

estimated

53 vs

24%

Progresión tras platino adyuvante

MMR d: first line

	RECRUITING	Adjuvant CT	Crossover	EP
EN13 Domenica	Yes worldwide	Yes >6mo	Yes	PFS
EN15 C193	Yes worldwide	NOyes	Yes in trial	PFS OS

All comers: first line

	RECRUITING	Adjuvant CT	Crossover	EP	
LEAP001	finished	Yes >6mo			
DUO-E	finished	Yes >12mo			
RUBY Pt2	finished	Yes >6mo			

Combinaciones IO: cabozantinib + Nivolumab



N=36

Tasa respuestas: 25%

EP tras platino

COHORTE B: nivolumab N=18 Tasa respuestas: 11.1%



Lheureux ASCO 2020

•

ENGOT-en9/LEAP-001: A Phase 3 randomized, open-label, study of pembrolizumab + lenvatinib vs. chemotherapy for first-line treatment of advanced or recurrent endometrial carcinoma

KEY ELEGIBILITY

- · Stage III, Stage IV or recurrent endometrial cancer
- Measurable disease or radiographically apparent disease by BICR
- May have received prior chemotherapy <u>only</u> if administered concurrently with radiation
- · May have received prior radiation
- May have received prior hormonal therapy for treatment of endometrial carcinoma, provided that it was discontinued ≥1 week prior to randomization
- ECOG 0-1
- Adequate Controlled Blood pressure within 7 days prior randomization
- Available tumour tissue for determination of MMR status

BICR, blind independent central review; IV intravenous, MMR, mismatch repair. ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT03884101 (LEAP-001)



"Current" preSGO 2023 paradigm



Fig. 2. Current paradigm of treatment on the basis in advanced and recurrent endometrial cancers,



Eficacia de IO en CE MSI-H

NCI-MATCH arm

Z1D⁶

Study	Arms	Phase	N	Biomarker selection	ORR (%)	Outcomes
KEYNOTE-158 ¹	Pembrolizumab	Ш	49	MSI-H/MMRd	57.1	mPFS 25.7 (4.9-NR) mOS NR (27.2-NR) DOR NR (2.9-27.0+)
Study	Arms	Phase	N	Biomarker selection	ORR (%)	Outcomes
GARNET ^{2,3}	Dostarlimab	1/11	103	MSI-H/MMRd	44.7	mPFS 8.1 m mOS NR DOR NR
Study	Arms	Phase	N	Biomarker selection	ORR (%)	Outcomes
Konstantinopoulos ⁴	Avelumab	Ш	15	MSI	26.7	NR
Study	Arms	Phase	N	Biomarker selection	ORR (%)	Outcomes
PHAEDRA ⁵	Durvalumab	Ш	35	MMRd	43	NR
Study	Arms	Phase	N	Biomarker selection	ORR (%)	Outcomes

ORR: overall response rate; PFS: profresion free-survival; OS: overall survival; DOR: duration response rate; NR: not reported 1. Marabelle et al, JCO 2019. 2.Oaknin et al, ESMO 2020. 3.Oaknin et al, JAMA oncol 2020 4. Konstantinopoulos, ASCO 2019. 5. Antill et al, ASCO 2019. 6. Azad et al, JCO 2019

11

Nivolumab

13

MMRd

46.1

NR



BIOMARCADORES

MSI PDL1 TMB en endometrio



Luchini P et al. Ann Oncol 2019

Second line: Molecular Biomarker beyond MSI in IO

.

Garnett trial Dostarlimab and TMB Oaknin et al.





Table 2. Mutations/Megabase by Cohort in Patients with a Known TMB Score Available			
Parameter	dMMR/MSI-H EC (n=100)	MMRp/MSS EC (n=152)	
Median (range)	20.17 (2.52-428.69)	3.78 (0-83.22)	
Mean (StDev)	28.39 (45.39)	4.68 (7.28)	





10 mutationalmagabase (FDA-approved finantoki) was used as the threshold for TM8-H status, dMMR, mismatch repair difficient; EC, endometrical cancer, FDA, Food and Dug Administration; MMRp, mismatch repair proficient; MSI-H, microsatellille instability high; MSS, microsatellille stable; ORR, objective response rate; TM8, turror mutational burden; TM8-H, lumor mutational burden high; MSS, microsatellille stable; ORR, objective response rate; TM8, turror mutational burden; TM8-H, lumor mutational burden high; MSS, microsatellille stable; ORR, objective response rate; TM8, turror mutational burden; TM8-H, status; TM8, turror mutational burden low.



KEYNOTE-158 Exploratory Biomarker Objective : Association of tumour mutational burden with ORR



Marabelle A et al;Lancet Oncol. 2020 Oct;21(10):1353-1365.

Second line biomarker

Phase 2 Lenvatinib Pembrolizumab TMB exploration (Makker et al)

	Lenvatinib + Pembrolizumab (n = 53)			
Parameter	Investigator Review	Independent Radiology Review		
ORR _{mesor} n (%)	21 (39.6)	24 (45.3)		
95% CI	26.5-54.0	31.6-59.6		
Dverall ORR, n (%)	21 (39.6)	25 (47.2)		
95% Cl	26.5-54.0	33.3-61.4		
BOR, n (%) CR PR SD PD Unknown or NE	1 (1.9) 20 (37.7) 25 (47.5) 4 (7.5) 3 (5.7)	3 (5.7) 22 (41.5) 10 (35.8) 5 (0.4) 4 (7.5)		
Meckan, months (55% Cl)	NE (7.4-NE)	NE (5.8-NE)		
Range, months	1.2+, 23.4+	1.2+, 23.4+		
2 6 months, % (55% Cl)	83.0 (55.9-94.2)	79.3 (44.5-92.9)		
12 months, % (55% Cl)	64.5 (29.8-9	79.9 (44.5-92.9)		



Response regardless TMB



All parients 1013 (7) TMB :175 multicome 1013 (7) TMB :175 multicome 2256 (3) Parients with MSS tumora 1011 (7) TMB :175 multicome 44 (00) TMB :175 multicome 2264 (34) multicome 2264 (34)

No correlation to RNA signatures

Table 2. $\ensuremath{\mathcal{P}}$ Values for Association Between RNA-Sequencing Signatures and Clinical Outcomes in All Patients

	ORR		PFS		
Signature	Before Adjusting for Tcell _{Int} GEP	After Adjusting for Tcell _{int} GEP	Before Adjusting for Tcell _{int} GEP	After Adjusting for Tcell _{inf} GEP	
TcellinfGEP	0.749	-	0.934	-	
Angiogenesis	0.749	0.514	0.934	0.841	
Glycolysis	0.888	0.915	0.878	0.968	
gMDSC	0.888	0.915	0.878	0.968	
Hypoxia	0.888	0.915	0.878	0.968	
mMDSC	0.749	0.514	0.934	0.841	
MVD	0.749	0.514	0.934	0.841	
MYC	0.377	0.327	0.500	0.308	
Proliferation	0.888	0.915	0.878	0.968	
RAS	0.888	0.915	0.878	0.968	
Stroma/EMT/TGF _β	0.749	0.514	0.934	0.841	
WNT	0.888	0.915	0.878	0.968	

Table 4. ORR by Select Individual Genes

	Responders, n/N	ORR, % (95% CI)
РІКЗСА		
Mutation	10/27	37 (19-58)
No mutation	14/26	54 (33-73)
PTEN		
Mutation	7/17	41 (18-67)
No mutation	17/36	47 (30-65)
TP53		
Mutation	11/25	44 (24-65)
No mutation	13/28	46 (28-66)

No correlation to nonserous like (unlike TOPIC)

Situación regulatoria

Aprobación en Europa (abril 2021)

Dostarlimab* is indicated for the treatment of patients with **dMMR/MSI-H recurrent or advanced endometrial cancer** who have progressed on or following prior therapy with a platinum-containing regimen¹

*Dostarlimab no está comercializado en España

dMMR, deficient mismatch repair; EC, endometrial cancer; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; MSI-H, microsatellite instability-high

- 1. https://ec.europa.eu/health/documents/communityregister/2021/20210421151305/anx_151305_es.pdf
- 2. https://www.merck.com/product/usa/pi_circulars/k/keytruda/keytruda_pi.pdf

3. US Food and Drug Administration. Press Release. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/simultaneous-review-decisions-pembrolizumab-plus-lenvatinib-australiacanada-and-us. September 17, 2019. Accessed: April 30, 2021

Aprobación en US (FDA)

Pembrolizumab is indicated for the treatment of adult and pediatric patients with **unresectable or metastatic MSI-H or dMMR**

- Solid tumors that have progressed following prior treatment and who have no satisfactory alternative treatment options
- Colorectal cancer that has progressed following treatment with a fluoropyrimidine, oxaliplatin, and irinotecan²

Aprobación en US(FDA), Australia y Canadá

Pembrolizumab plus lenvatinib is indicated for the treatment of patients with **advanced endometrial carcinoma** that **is not MSI-H** or **dMMR** and who have disease progression following prior systemic therapy but are not candidates for curative surgery or radiation³

Conclusiones

- ¿Biomarcadores? Sí
- Consideraciones clínicas
 - Adyuvancia
 - Línea de tratamiento
 - Posibilidades
 - MSI-H Dostarlimab Lenvatinib+ Pembrolizumab
 - MSS Lenvatinib+ Pembrolizumab

• Endometrio is on fire ver XAVIER, EVA Y CARMEN